

Conditional `final class-virt-specifier`

ISO C++23 Standard — Feature Proposal

Metrics

Document No:	Draft R0
Date:	08.07.2020
Project:	ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 22/WG 21/C++
Audience:	Core Working Group Library Working Group
Reply-to:	Paweł Benetkiewicz <isocpp@benio.me>

Contents

Metrics	1
Contents	1
Proposal	1
Syntax	2
Motivation	2
Examples	3
Requirements	3
Disadvantages	3
Advantages	4
Wording	5

Proposal

Make `final class-virt-specifier` conditional.

Syntax

Current	Proposed	
final	final	(1)
	final (<i>expression</i>)	(2) (since C++23)
	final < <i>type-parameter-key name</i> (optional) > (<i>expression</i>)	(3) (since C++23)
1) Same as final (true)		
2–3) if <i>expression</i> evaluates to true upon final conditioning, the program is ill-formed .		
	<i>expression</i> —	Sequence of logical operations and operands that specifies negation of requirements on base class.
	<i>type-parameter-key</i> —	Either typename or class . There is no difference between these keywords in context of final conditioning declaration.
	<i>name</i> —	Temporary identifier corresponding to derived class upon final conditioning. It's optional to keep consistency with templates.

In case (2), every occurrence of *name* (if any) will be interpreted as a derived class reference in final conditioning. If *name* refers to another class, program is ill-formed.

In cases (2, 3), final conditioning will be performed in the context of deriving class, evaluating *expression*. If evaluation of final conditioning is **true**, program is ill-formed.

Motivation

Conditioning inheritance was widely requested for many years, but it was not possible to add such feature until C++20, which introduced constraints — *constraint-logical-or-expression* allows making *final class-virt-specifier* conditional, with optional help of a temporary identifier corresponding to derived class upon final conditioning — evaluation of constraint in the context of class declaration.

This proposal is very similar to P0892R2 (C++20) "explicit(bool)". Both proposals adds conditional expression to specifier with special meaning. Two main differences in syntax are:

- final* requires additional temporary replacement for a derived class.
- final* uses *constraint-logical-or-expression* while *explicit* uses *constant-expression*.

Conditional *final class-virt-specifier* shall heavily reduce amount of hard to deal with common problems with inheriting invalid, outdated or incompatible: interfaces, components and extensions.

This proposal adds feature to heavily increase **compatibility** correctness by inheritance constraints.

Examples

Conditional `final` *class-virt-specifier* allows *i.a.*:

1. Restricting inheritance of interface for it's implementation only.

```
class foo_impl {};  
class foo_interface final<class B>(&!same_as<B, foo_impl>) {};  
// foo_interface can be inherited from foo_impl class only.
```

2. Restricting inheritance of component for compatible classes only.

```
class enemy_component final<class B>(&!derived_from<B, enemy>) {};  
// enemy_component can be inherited from classes that inherits from enemy only.
```

3. Ensure that version of a derived class is not less than version of base class.

```
class extension final<class B>(B::api_version < extension::api_version) {};  
// extension can be inherited from base class with not less api version only.
```

Requirements

1. **Library:** Small change needs to be done to `is_final` type trait, to accept either one or two template arguments, as specified in *Wording*: § 7 – 9 of this proposal (§ 20.15.2 [meta.type.synop], § 20.15.4.3: Table 49 [tab:meta.unary.prop], § 20.15.6.2: Table 51 [tab:meta.rel]).
2. **Compiler:** Compilers will have to implement final conditioning procedure: up to one temporary template argument that refers to a derived class, and a constraint expression evaluation in the context of derived class declaration. Fortunately, this should be trivial up to very easy since we got concepts in C++20.

Disadvantages

None.

Advantages

1. **Similarity**: Proposed syntax for conditional `final class-virt-specifier` combines syntaxes of well-known `template` declaration and two other specifiers: `noexcept` and `explicit`:

conditional `final class-virt-specifier`:

```
final < class B > ( expression )
```

`template` declaration:

```
template < class T >
```

other specifiers with special meanings:

```
noexcept ( expression )
```

```
explicit ( expression )
```

2. **Design tradeoffs**: None.

3. **Compatibility**: Legacy code will be fully compatible with a proposed syntax. In order to keep backwards compatibility of modern code with syntax from before this proposal, we can take use from `__cpp_lib_is_final` support macro and define a new, simply one:

```
#if defined(__cpp_lib_is_final) && __cpp_lib_is_final >= 202007L
    #define FINAL(...) final(__VA_ARGS__)
#else
    #define FINAL(...)
#endif
```

Wording

1. In § 11.1.1 [class.pre] and A.8 [gram.class], add conditional `final`:

```
class-virt-specifier:  
    final final-clauseopt  
final-clause:  
    final-headopt ( constraint-logical-or-expression )  
final-head:  
    < type-parameter-key identifieropt >
```

2. In § 11.1.5 [class.pre], change first paragraph:

If a class is marked with the *class-virt-specifier* that begins with `final identifier` and it appears as a *class-or-decltype* in a *base-clause*, then the program is ill-formed unconditionally if there is no *final-clause* in *class-virt-specifier* of this class, or a final conditioning will be issued [Note: See below. — end note], yielding program ill-formed if constraint fails. Whenever a *class-key* is followed by a *class-head-name*, the *identifier final* (optionally followed by a *final-clause*), and a colon or left brace, `final` is interpreted as beginning of a *class-virt-specifier*.

3. Change numbering of sections § 11.1.6–7 to § 11.1.7–8 [class.pre].

After § 11.1.5 [class.pre], add new section (§ 11.1.6) with the following content:

If *final-head* with *identifier* appears in a *final-clause*, then every occurrence of *identifier* must be interpreted as a derived class reference in final conditioning. If such *identifier* already refers to a class, the program is ill-formed. Otherwise, a final conditioning will be performed, evaluating *constraint-logical-or-expression* of issuing *final-clause* and yielding result of evaluated constraint. [Note: Program is ill-formed if evaluation of final conditioning is `true`. — end note]

4. In § 11.1.5 [class.pre], add two line breaks and the following code to the end of an example:

```
struct D final<class B>(!derived_from<B, E>) {};  
// struct D can be derived only from classes that derives from E  
  
struct E {};  
struct F : E {};  
struct G {};  
  
struct F : D {};           // OK: F derives from E  
struct G : D {};           // ill-formed: G does not derive from E
```

5. In § 16.5.5.12.4 [derivation], change first sentence:

All types specified in the C++ standard library shall not be unconditionally non-final types unless otherwise specified.

6. In § 17.3.2 [version.syn], change line with `__cpp_lib_is_final`:

```
#define __cpp_lib_is_final 201420027L // also in <type_traits>
```

7. In § 20.15.2 [meta.type.synop], change `template is_final_v`:

```
template<class...>
inline constexpr bool is_final_v;
template<class T>
inline constexpr bool is_final_v<T> = is_final<T>::value;
template<class Base, class Derived>
inline constexpr bool is_final_v<Base, Derived> = is_final<Base, Derived>::value;
```

8. In § 20.15.4.3 [meta.unary.prop], modify Table 49 [tab:meta.unary.prop], changing first sentence in *Condition* column of row with `template<class T> struct is_final` *Template*:

T is a class type marked with the *class-virt-specifier* that begins with `final` ([class.pre]). [Note: `is_final` has specializations for both unary and relation types. — end note]

9. In § 20.15.6.2 [meta.rel], add a row to Table 51 [tab:meta.rel]:

Template	Condition	Comments
<code>template<class Base, class Derived> struct is_final<Base, Derived>;</code>	<code>Derived</code> cannot derive from <code>Base</code> ([class.pre]). [Note: <code>is_final</code> has specializations for both unary and relation types. — end note]	Every template argument that is a class type, shall be a complete type.