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## 1 Introduction

Expression tree transformations at compile-time are based exclusively on knowledge of expression node types. However, to successfully perform at least one kind of transformation, the pruning of duplicate sub-expression branches, type information, alone, is insufficient. A candidate duplicate branch must be inspected to determine whether or not it is a duplicate with respect to both its type and its associated variables.

To the best of the author's knowledge, the latter requirement, inspecting for duplication with respect to associated variables, is impossible to achieve when at least primitive types are used in the expression. To remedy this, a language extension is herein proposed to facilitate the discrimination between different variables of the same type. The proposed solution is a new operator, varid, which is a constant expression of type std::size_t, and its value unique to the definition of its argument.

## 2 Motivation and Scope

Unique types for every terminal node, including what would otherwise be terminals of primitive type (such as float), are required when compile-time duplicate sub-expression elimination is performed.

Consider the simple expression tree depicted in Figure 1. If terminal node $a$ is of type $A, b$ is of type $B$ and both $c_{0}$ and $c_{1}$ are of type $C$, a sub-expression duplication rule, exclusively based on types, would identify the sub-expression $\mathrm{c} 0+\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b}$ as being identical to $\mathrm{c} 1+\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b}$. This, however, would be mathematically incorrect.


Figure 1: Duplicate branch? Impossible to tell given only the types.

## 3 Mitigating the problem

Generating unique types from lambdas reduces the need for introducing the proposed language feature. However, it does not expunge it. Furthermore, it comes with its own subtlety.

This approach requires the original primitive types (e.g. float) to be wrapped by template class whose second template argument is deduced from a minimal lambda definition. Since lambdas create new types, there is a guarantee

[^0]that each time the wrapper type is fully defined, a unique type will be generated. However, the subtlety is that multiple instances of this type on the same line will all have the same type.

```
template<typename T, auto =[]{}> struct Unique { ...};
Unique<float>c0;
Unique<float> c1;
static_assert(!std:: is_same_v<decltype(c0), decltype(c1)>);
```

Listing 1: Creating unique types using lambdas
For completeness, a second approach initially seemed promising but turned out to be of no help. The 'address of' operator can be used in a constant expression context, but only for comparison. If were possible to leverage this feature of the language in the desired context it would have been minimally useful. Furthermore, if, in addition, it were possible to capture the values being compared it would be sufficiently useful. However, neither are possible.

The following 'address' comparison is permissible:

```
template<typename E0, typename E1>
auto constexpr isSame(E0 &&e0, E1 &&e1) { return &e0 = &e1; }
float c0;
float c1;
static_assert(isSame(c0, c0));
static_assert (!isSame(c0, c1));
```

Listing 2: Using the 'address of' operator
For it to be minimally useful in the context of determining duplicate sub-expressions, there would need to be the capacity to inject the result of isSame into a binary expression node type. This step is impossible since isSame cannot be evaluated to resolve the Boolean template parameter in Listing 3.

```
template<typename OP, typename E0, typename E1, bool isSame_>
struct Binary { ...};
template<typename E0, typename E1>
auto add(E0 &&e0, E1 &&e1)
-> Binary<Add, E0, E1, isSame(e0, e1)>
{ ... }
float c0;
float c1;
add(c0, c1); // error: non-type template argument is not a constant expression
```

Listing 3: Attempting to leverage 'address of'
Even if it were possible to evaluate isSame to resolve the template parameter, it would be of no use for the particular problem presented in Figure 1, and for the vast majority of expressions typically encountered. Suppose an expression was of the form $c 0+c 1 * c 0+c 2$. The most that could be deduced is that $c 0+c 1$ and $c 0+c 2$ both have different operands, but it does not tell us if their difference is the same kind of difference. Consequently, c0+c1*c0+c1 would be viewed in the same way as $\mathrm{c} 0+\mathrm{c} 1 * \mathrm{c} 0+\mathrm{c} 2$.

## 4 Proposal

To provide the programmer with a means to distinguish branches that have the same type but do not represent the same expression, a small language extension is proposed to resolve this problem. In Listing 4, if a new operator was defined, varid, which returned value related to the file location (or compiler's internal index) of the variable given it then variable-related distinctions of sub-expressions can be captured.

```
template<typename OP, typename E0, typename E1, auto ID0, auto ID1>
struct Binary { ... };
template<typename E0, typename E1>
auto add(E0 &&e0, E1 &&e1)
-> Binary<Add, E0, E1, varid(e0), varid(e1)>
{ ... }
```

Listing 4: Using varid in the construction of a template expression node
Applying the change to the example in Figure 1, the left and right sub-expressions would have the types

```
Binary<Add, C, Binary<Mul, A, B, 1, 2>, 3, 5> // c0 + a*b
Binary<Add, C, Binary<Mul, A, B, 1, 2>, 4, 5> // c1 + a*b
```

Actual numbers returned by varid may be compiler dependent; the crucial point is that the generated expression types are different.

## 5 Technical Specification

varid takes one argument which must be a named variable or reference and returns the compiler-specific index of the variable, of type std: :size_t. The operator name would introduce a new keyword into the language. Listing 5 presents valid use cases. In particular, the capacity to 'trace though' from a reference to a definition is key requirement. If such functionality were omitted, there would be no way to write expression node construction functions, like the one presented in Listing 4.

```
float c0;
float c1;
static_assert(varid(c0) != varid(c1));
auto &cr = c0;
static_assert(varid (cr) = varid(c0));
cr = c1;
static_assert (varid (cr) = varid(c1));
```

Listing 5: Valid uses of varid
Listing 6 presents invalid use cases. Attempting to directly use varid to return an index for literals or expressions is beyond the scope of the proposal. varid would not be supported across compilation units. If the function is used for a variable whose definition is not visible then a compilation error should be issued.

```
auto id0 = varid(float); // error: types not supported
auto id1 = varid(3); // error: literals not supported
auto id2 = varid (c0 * c1); // error: expressions not supported
```

Listing 6: Invalid uses of varid

## 6 Possible Implementation

If it is the case that compilers typically have access to the line and starting column of identifiers, in addition to the files they are found in, then a possible implementation would define varid as the hash of these three values. If, on the other hand, a compiler would need to retain this information just to speculatively support the function, this may be an overly expensive requirement.

1 varid (arg) $:=$ hash_combine(arg. row, arg.column, arg.filename)
Listing 7: A possible definition of varid

## 7 Technical Issues

In the case of temporary variables being passed indirectly to varid, such as the getID function presented in Listing 8 , it would be preferable for the value of varid to be related to the temporary that was instantiated. Otherwise, varid should return zero rather than triggering a compilation error.

```
template<typename E0> auto getID(E0 &&e0) { return varid(e0); }
auto id = getID(3); // error?
```

Listing 8: Permit varid to be indirectly bound to a temporary?
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